REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1 - 8 have been canceled. Claims 9 and 10 have been re-presented so as to claim allowable subject matter. Claims 11 - 15 have been added.

(Claim Objections)

The examiner has objected to claims 6 and 7 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but states that they would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. These claims are re-presented claims 9 and 10.

The examiner has rejected claims 1-5, 7, and 8 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shink (5,553,908). The applicant has canceled the foregoing claims, but has added new claims 11-15. Shink does not teach, suggest or disclose a sun-shade which is disposed between a mirror post of a motor vehicle and a roof line for use as the motor vehicle is in motion. The applicant has added new claims 11-15 as methods claims for using the sun screen while the vehicle is in motion to block the sunlight falling between the mirror post and the roof line.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

SCOPT L. TERRELL, P.C.

Scott L. Terrell, Reg. No. 35,709 1895 Youngfield St., Ste. 202 Golden, CO 80401 Telephone (303) 279-0705 E-mail sltpcesq@aol.com

Certificate of Mailing 37 C.F.R. 1.8

I hereby certify that a true and correct original or the foregoing this
correspondence is being deposited with the U S Postal Service as First Class Mail
in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents,
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date below: